Qsym : A Practical Concolic Execution Engine Tailored for Hybrid Fuzzing

INSU YUN, SANGHO LEE, AND MENG XU, TAESOO KIM, GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY; YEONGJIN JANG, OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY;



Presented by: Oskars Dauksts October 30, 2018

Overview

- Background
- Introduction
- Motivation
- Design
- ► Implementation
- Evaluation
- Discussion
- Conclusion



Background



Background – Key Terms

- Concolic Execution Combines symbolic execution with concrete execution
 - **Symbolic execution –** Allows for execution of all possible paths
 - Concrete execution Concrete values that guide the execution through constraints
- Fuzzing QA technique that involves inputting large amount of inputs to test coding errors, input filtering and other loopholes.
- Hybrid Fuzzing Concolic Execution + Fuzzing



Background

- Limitations to hybrid fuzzing
 - Scaling to real-world software
- Introduction of Qsym
 - Native execution with symbolic emulation.
- Results



Introduction



Introduction

7

► Fuzzing

Quickly discover inputs to execution path with lose conditions

► x > 0;

Concolic Execution

- Good at finding inputs that use complex conditions
 - x == 0xdeadbeef;
- Expensive and Slow
- Past Solution: Hybrid Fuzzing



Introduction - Limits

- Suffer from scaling in non-trivial inputs
- Symbolic emulation is too slow
- Introduced Solution Qsym
 - Integrate symbolic emulation to native execution using dynamic binary translation
 - Optimistically solve constraints
 - Prune basic blocks



Introduction - Qsym

Fast concolic execution through efficient emulation

- Optimized emulation speed
- Efficient repetitive testing and concrete environment
 - Allows fast re-execution, eliminates snapshots
- New heuristics for hybrid fuzzing
 - Prune compute intensive blocks
- Real-world bugs
 - New bugs discovered



Motivation



Motivation – Slow Symbolic Emulation 11

► Why IR

- $\blacktriangleright \text{ Machine language} \rightarrow \text{IR instructions for easy modeling}$
- Easy to develop
- ► Why not IR
 - ▶ Big overhead
 - Sometimes 1 machine instruction = 2 IR instructions
 - Caching of IR instructions forces execution on the basic block level



Motivation – Ineffective Snapshot

Why Snapshots

- Eliminates execution overhead
- Why not Snapshots
 - Sometimes hybrid fuzzing does not share a common branch
 - Leads fuzzer to wrong code path
 - Interaction with external environments



Motivation - Slow and Inflexible Sound Analysis

- Why Sound Analysis
 - Guaranteed soundness by collecting complete constraints
 - No false expectations
- ► Why not
 - Could lead to never ending analysis
 - ► Ex: file_zmagic()
 - Decompression of zlib contains complex constraints
 - Other interesting code is missed
 - Over-constraining the path



Motivation - Approach

► Slow Symbolic Emulation \rightarrow Remove IR translations

- Pay for implementation complexity
- ▶ Ineffective Snapshot \rightarrow Remove snapshot mechanism
 - Concrete Execution to model external environment



Design



Design - Qsym Architecture

- 1) Input: Test case and Binary file
- 2) Attempts to generate new test cases
- 3) Uses DBT to natively execute the input
- 4) Prunes Basic blocks
- ▶ 5) Symbolic emulation integrated with native execution
- 6) Solving all of the constraints while generating new test cases





Design - Taming Concolic Executor

Instruction-level Symbolic Execution

- Only executes small set of instructions that are required to generate symbolic constraints (Figure 1)
- Solving constraints that are relevant to the target branch
 - Other concolic executors do it incrementally (Figure 2)
- Re-execution over snapshotting
 - Qsym runs natively
- Concrete external environment
 - Executes them by concrete values



Figure 1

1 # create user	1 # create user	1 # create user
userone	userone	$xfb\xfb\xfb\xfb\xfb\xff\xf1\xf1$
1 # create user	1 # create user	1 # create user
usertwo	usertwo	<pre>\xfb\xfb\xfb\xfb\xfb\xf1</pre>
2 # login	2 # login	2 # login
userone	userone	xfbxfbxfbxfbxf4xf1xf1
1 # send message	4 # delete message	4 # delete message
Initial PoV	Qsym	Driller

Figure 2



Design – Optimistic Solving

Qsym generates new test cases from over-constraint problems

- Utilization of Hybrid fuzzer
 - Formulates new test inputs
- Optimistically selects some portion of constraints



Design – Basic Block Pruning

Elimination of repetitive code execution

- Ex: Compute intensive operations
- Uses Exponential Back off
 - Executes block with power of 2
- Grouping multiple execution and Context Sensetivity
 - Prevents excessive pruning





Implementation



Implementation

► Total 16k LoC

- Intel Pin for Dynamic Binary Translation (DBT)
- Utilizes libdft for handling system calls
- Supports part of Intel-64 instructions
 - Adding support to different type of instructions in the future

Component	Lines of code	
Concolic execution core	12,528 LoC of C++	
Expression generation	1,913 LoC of C++	
System call abstraction	1,577 LoC of C++	
Hybrid fuzzing	565 LoC of Python	





Discussion



Evaluation - Scaling real-world problems

Found 13 new bugs

- Stack and Heap overflows
- ► NULL references
- Reason for better scaling than state of art fuzzers
 - Ability to detect errors in Incomplete or Incorrect systems calls

Program	Bug Type	Syscall
libtiff	Erroneous system calls	mmap
openjpeg	Unsupported system calls	set_robust_list
tcpdump	Erroneous system calls	mmap
libarchive	Unsupported system calls	fcntl
ffmpeg	Unsupported system calls	rt_sigaction



Evaluation – Code Coverage Effectiveness

- Qsym vs AFL fuzzer on libPNG project
- ▶ 6 hour run
- Dummy input at 0%
- ► 141 samples





Evaluation – Fast Symbolic Evaluation







Evaluation – Optimistic Solving

Relax on over constraint variables



Evaluation – Pruning Basic Blocks

Effect of pruning basic blocks

- Reduced execution time
- Bigger code coverage





Discussion



Adoption beyond fuzzing

- Basic block pruning can be applied to parsers
- Applied to other concolic executors
- Complementing each other with other fuzzers
 - Can be used with fuzzers that enhance currently used AFL fuzzer
- Limitations
 - Bound to theoretical limits to constrain solving
 - ► X86_64
 - Not all instructions are supported



Conclusion



Conclusion

- Fast concolic execution engine tailored to use with hybrid fuzzers
- Scalable for real world applications
- Outperformed current fuzzing tools
- Found new undiscovered bugs

